



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/530,629

APPLICATION NO.

06/19/00

DADD

M

SHP-PT058

MM21/0814

C FREDERICK KOENIG III VOLPE AND KOENIG 1617 JOHN F KENNEDY BOULEVARD SUITE 400 ONE PENN CENTER PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

FILING DATE

PEREZ G

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2834

DATE MAILED:

08/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

*				
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)
		09/530	0,629	DADD, MICHAEL
<i>k</i> '	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit
		Guillerr	mo Perez	2834
Period fo		ation appears on	the cover s	heet with the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sisons of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of the period for reply within the period for reply within the set of the period for reply within the set of the period for reply within the period for reply	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a), In no nication. days, a reply within the tory period will apply an II, by statute, cause the	event, however statutory minima d will expire SIX application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed Im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>24 May 200</u>	<u>1</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL .	o) ☐ This action	ı is non-fina	1.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from	considerati	on.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or electio	n requireme	ent.
Applicati	on Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) 🗌 ¯	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have b	een receiv	ed.
	2. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have b	een receiv	ed in Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment			<u>"П</u>	PRIMARY EXAMINER
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap		5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 as amended recites that "the stator and the magnetic assembly are arranged for relative linear movement to define a magnetic circuit for imparting non-rotational, relative linear movement between the stator and the magnetic assembly". According to the disclosure as originally filed the magnetic circuit does impart rotational and relative linear movement. The rotational movement is restrained by providing mechanical means (linear bearings or spiral springs) attached to the rotor and stator to guide the rotor through a linear path (page 6, lines 16-19, 22-26; page 7, lines 18-24; page 8, lines 9-16; page 9, lines 23-26). The amendment contradicts what is disclosed in the specification as originally filed.

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-6, 9-10, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kling (U. S. Pat. No. 4,126,797).

Referring to claim 1, Kling discloses an electromechanical transducer comprising:
a stator having a plurality of coils (a,b,c,d in figures 1 and 2); and
a magnetic assembly (D in figures 1 and 2) having a plurality of magnetic poles
(D) there being flux linkage between the coils (a,b,c,d in figures 1 and 2) and the
magnetic poles (D), wherein the stator and the magnetic assembly (D in figures 1 and 2)
are arranged for relative linear movement to define a magnetic circuit for imparting nonrotational, relative linear movement between the stator and the magnetic assembly
(figures 1-2 and column 12, lines 22-68 to column 13, lines 1-5) and at least one of the
plurality of coils (a,b,c,d in figures 1 and 2) and the plurality of magnetic poles (D) are
arranged to describe a helical path about the axis of the transducer whereby the
magnetic circuit includes a helical component.

Referring to claim 2, Kling discloses that the stator includes a plurality of core elements (h in figure 23) on which the plurality of coils (17 in figure 23) are mounted and associated pole pieces.

Art Unit: 2834

Referring to claim 3, Kling discloses a magnetic circuit member (14,15 in figure 24) provided on the side of the magnetic assembly (13) opposite to the side of the magnetic assembly facing the stator (16).

Referring to claim 4, Kling discloses that the magnetic circuit member (14,15) is integral with the rotor (13) and moves as part of the rotor (13).

Referring to claim 5, Kling discloses that the plurality of coils (17) of the stator (16) and the plurality of magnetic poles (14,15) of the magnetic assembly (13) are arranged to describe helical paths about the axis of the transducer.

Referring to claim 6, Kling discloses that the angle of the helical path of the plurality of coils is different to the angle of the helical path of the plurality of magnetic poles of the magnetic assembly (figure 28).

Referring to claim 9, Kling discloses that two transducers of opposite handedness are coupled thereby constraining rotational movement of the magnetic assemblies relative to the stator.

Referring to claim 10, Kling discloses that at least one of the plurality of core elements and the associated pole pieces of the stator, the magnetic circuit member, and intervening segments interposed between the magnetic poles of the rotor consists of high permeability material.

Referring to claim 15, Kling discloses that the rotor does not form a closed cylinder.

Referring to claim 16, Kling discloses a compressor having an electromechanical transducer as claimed in claim 1, connected to a piston and cylinder arrangement.

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kling in view of Davey et al. (EP 0028144A1).

Kling discloses an electromechanical transducer as described on item 1 above. However, Kling does not disclose that holding means are additionally provided to constrain at least rotational relative movement between the magnetic assembly and the stator. Kling does not disclose that the holding means is in the form of one or more spiral springs.

Davey et al. disclose that holding means (31) are additionally provided to constrain at least rotational relative movement between the magnetic assembly (16) and the stator (13). Davey et al. disclose that the holding means (31) is in the form of one or more spiral springs (31). The invention of Davey et al. has the purpose of creating axial flexibility and distributing stresses equally.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the electromechanical transducer of Kling and provide it with the holding means disclosed by Davey et al. for the purpose of creating axial flexibility and distributing stresses equally.

Art Unit: 2834

3. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kling in view of Pryjmak (U. S. Pat. No. 4,616,151).

Kling discloses an electromechanical transducer as described on item 1 above. However, Kling does not disclose that at least one of the stator, the magnetic assembly and the magnetic circuit member consists of a plurality of laminations stacked together. Kling does not disclose that the planes of the individual laminations describe a helical path about the axis of the transducer.

Pryjmak discloses that at least one of the stator (figure 1), the magnetic assembly and the magnetic circuit member consists of a plurality of laminations (9) stacked together. Pryjmak discloses that the planes of the individual laminations (9) describe a helical path about the axis of the transducer. Pryjmak's invention has the purpose of reducing the excitation of resonant case vibration modes and producing quieter motor operation.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the electromechanical transducer of Kling and provide it with the stator configuration disclosed by Pryjmak for the purpose of reducing the excitation of resonant case vibration modes and producing quieter motor operation.

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kling in view of Cook et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 5,719,451).

Kling discloses an electromechanical transducer as described on item 1 above.

However, Kling does not disclose that the magnetic assembly consists of a single

Art Unit: 2834

component having isotropic magnetization characteristics whereby the magnetic assembly has a non-binary magnetic field distribution.

Cook et al. disclose that the magnetic assembly (25) consists of a single component having isotropic magnetization characteristics whereby the magnetic assembly (25) has a non-binary magnetic field distribution (column 5, lines 12-22). Cook et al. has the purpose of providing opposed magnetic poles at portions of the cylindrical faces at opposite ends of the cylinder.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the electromechanical transducer of Kling and provide it with the isotropic magnetization characteristics disclosed by Cook et al. for the purpose of providing opposed magnetic poles at portions of the cylindrical faces at opposite ends of the cylinder.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kling in view of Delson et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,002,184).

Kling discloses an electromechanical transducer as described on item 1 above.

However, Kling does not disclose a torque transducer for measuring the axial force generated by the electromechanical transducer.

Delson et al. disclose a torque transducer (129) for the purpose of measuring the axial force generated by the electromechanical transducer.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the electromechanical transducer of Kling and provide it with a torque transducer as disclosed by Delson et al. for the purpose of measuring the axial for a generated by the electromechanical transducer.

Art Unit: 2834

Response to Arguments

In response to Applicant's argument that Kling does not disclose a magnetic circuit including a helical component, it must be noted that figures 1-2 and 26 of Kling shows that the coils form a helical component. The embodiment of figure 26 is arranged for relative linear movement, a piston embodiment is being shown which shows the linearity of the embodiment movement. Figures 1 and 2 show that the sole movement occurring in the rotor is a linear movement since the stator poles are being switched from polarities to attracting the magnet strip in a linear path. Claim 1 requires the magnetic circuit to include a helical component, the coils are the helical components in figure 26. Claim 1 does not require a rotational constraint in the embodiment. The restraint disclosed in Davey et al. is applicable to the embodiment of figure 26 of Kliman since it is needed to prevent the piston from rotating.

In response to Applicant's argument that the laminations are skewed and not helical it must be noted in Figure 3 that Pryjmak shows a portion of a helical pattern of the stacked laminations. The helical structure shown by Pryjmak shows the limitations as claimed.

The torque transducer of Delson et al. can be used to determine axial force of the axial transducer by knowing the angular torque (with the torque transducer) and distance from the angular torque center to the point where the axial torque is applied.

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guillermo Perez whose telephone number is (703) 306-5443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308 1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305 3432 for regular communications and (703) 305 3432 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2834

Page 10

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

Guillermo Perez August 10, 2001 BURTON S. MULLINS PRIMARY EXAMINE